

RELATIVE HOMOTOPY GROUPS AND SERRE FIBRATIONS FOR POLYNOMIAL MAPS

MASAHARU ISHIKAWA AND TAT-THANG NGUYEN

ABSTRACT. Let f be a polynomial map from \mathbb{R}^m to \mathbb{R}^n with $m > n > 0$ and t_0 be a regular value of f . For a small open ball D_{t_0} centered at t_0 , we show that the map $f : f^{-1}(D_{t_0}) \rightarrow D_{t_0}$ is a Serre fibration if and only if f is a Serre fibration over a finite number of certain simple arcs starting at t_0 . We characterize the fibration $f : f^{-1}(D_{t_0}) \rightarrow D_{t_0}$ by relative homotopy groups defined for these arcs and use it to prove the assertion.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a polynomial map from \mathbb{R}^m to \mathbb{R}^n with $m > n > 0$. A fiber $f^{-1}(t_0)$ of f is said to be *atypical* if there does not exist an open neighborhood U_{t_0} of $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that f restricted to $f^{-1}(U_{t_0})$ is a locally trivial fibration. The set of the images of atypical fibers is a set of measure zero [11, 13], which is called the *bifurcation set* of f . It is known by H.V. Hà and D.T. Lê that a fiber of a polynomial function $f : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of complex two-variables is atypical if and only if its Euler characteristic is different from that of a general fiber [4]. This result is generalized by C. Joița and M. Tibăr in [6] for a polynomial map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ between non-singular affine varieties X and Y with $\dim X = \dim Y + 1 = m \geq 3$ under the condition that there is no vanishing component. Here a vanishing component is a connected component of a nearby fiber that vanishes when it goes to $f^{-1}(t_0)$. They also studied atypical fibers of algebraic maps $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m-1}$ from real non-singular irreducible algebraic sets X with $\dim X = m \geq 3$ and characterized them by the Euler characteristics and the betti numbers of them

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 58K15; Secondary 14D06, 55R10, 58K05.

Key words and phrases. polynomial map, singularity at infinity, atypical fiber, Serre fibration.

The second author thanks Vietnam Institute for Advanced Study in Mathematics (VIASM) and Keio University for warm hospitality during his visits. The first author is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant numbers JP19K03499, JP23K03098, JP23H00081, JSPS-VAST Joint Research Program, Grant number JPJSBP120219602, and Keio University Academic Development Funds for Individual Research. The second author is supported by the Vietnam Academy of Science and Technology under Grant number ĐLTE 00.04/23-24.

and their nearby fibers [7]. There are several other studies for real polynomial maps with 1-dimensional fibers [1, 12, 5]. In general case, no characterization in terms of homology groups is known.

In [8, Theorem A], G. Meigniez proved that a surjective map is a Serre fibration if and only if it is a homotopic submersion and all vanishing cycles and all emerging cycles are trivial. Further, it is proved in [8, Corollary 14 (3)] that a surjective homotopic submersion is a Serre fibration if and only if it is a Serre fibration over any arc in the image.

In this paper, we study the fibration of a polynomial map as a Serre fibration. The aim is to detect an atypical fiber in the sense of a Serre fibration. We call it a *homotopically atypical fiber*. We will show that, to determine if $f^{-1}(t_0)$ is homotopically atypical, we only need to check the fibrations over certain short simple arcs starting at t_0 . The short arcs are given as follows. Let \mathfrak{S}_f be a locally finite stratification of \mathbb{R}^n such that, for each stratum $S \in \mathfrak{S}_f$, $f : f^{-1}(S) \rightarrow S$ is a locally trivial fibration. Note that the bifurcation set of f is the union of strata with dimension less than n . The existence of such a stratification is shown in [2, Theorem 3.4]. For a point $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we choose a small open ball D_{t_0} in \mathbb{R}^n centered at t_0 such that $S' \cup \{t_0\}$ is simply-connected for each connected component S' of $S \cap D_{t_0}$ for $S \in \mathfrak{S}_f$. Then, the set $\{S'\}$ constitutes a stratification of D_{t_0} , which we denote by $\mathfrak{S}_{f, D_{t_0}}$. For each stratum $S' \in \mathfrak{S}_{f, D_{t_0}}$, we choose a simple arc starting at t_0 and lying on $S' \cup \{t_0\}$. We call it an *s-arc on S' at t_0* and denote it by $\delta_{S'}$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a polynomial map with $m > n > 0$ and $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be a regular value of f . Then, the map $f : f^{-1}(D_{t_0}) \rightarrow D_{t_0}$ is a Serre fibration if and only if f is a Serre fibration over an s-arc $\delta_{S'}$ on S' at t_0 for each stratum $S' \in \mathfrak{S}_{f, D_{t_0}}$.*

Since t_0 is a regular value, $f : f^{-1}(D_{t_0}) \rightarrow D_{t_0}$ is surjective. Moreover, it is always a homotopic submersion because it is a polynomial map. Therefore, we only need to check if all vanishing cycles and all emerging cycles are trivial. The point of the above theorem is that we only need to check the fibrations over s-arcs at t_0 while checking the fibrations over all arcs on D_{t_0} is required in [8, Corollary 14 (3)].

To prove the above theorem, we study relation between the fibration $f : f^{-1}(D_{t_0}) \rightarrow D_{t_0}$ and the relative homotopy sets $\pi_i(f^{-1}(\delta_{S'}), f^{-1}(t_0), x_0)$, where x_0 is a point in $f^{-1}(t_0)$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$, by observing vanishing and emerging cycles. Note that $\pi_i(f^{-1}(\delta_{S'}), f^{-1}(t_0), x_0)$ is a group if $i \geq 2$. This set is said to be trivial if it consists of only one element. The fibration $f : f^{-1}(D_{t_0}) \rightarrow D_{t_0}$ is characterized by the relative homotopy sets as follows.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a polynomial map with $m > n > 0$ and $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be a regular value of f . The map $f : f^{-1}(D_{t_0}) \rightarrow D_{t_0}$ is a Serre fibration if and only if f has no vanishing component at t_0 and $\pi_i(f^{-1}(\delta_{S'}), f^{-1}(t_0), x_0)$ are trivial for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $x_0 \in f^{-1}(t_0)$, and any $S' \in \mathfrak{S}_{f, D_{t_0}}$.*

Remark that $\pi_i(f^{-1}(\delta_{S'}), f^{-1}(t_0), x_0)$ for $S' \in \mathfrak{S}_{f, D_{t_0}}$ does not depend on the choice of an s-arc $\delta_{S'}$ on $S' \cup \{t_0\}$ since $S' \cup \{t_0\}$ is simply-connected and f is a locally trivial fibration over S' .

It is worth noting that for a complex polynomial map $f : \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{m-1}$, it is known that the fiber $f^{-1}(t_0)$ over a regular value $t_0 \in \mathbb{C}^{m-1}$ of f is not atypical if and only if the inclusion of each fiber $f^{-1}(t)$ into $f^{-1}(D_{t_0})$ is a weak homotopy equivalence for all $t \in D_{t_0}$ [10, Theorem 4.4]. The above theorem asserts that, instead of checking the weak homotopy equivalence for all $t \in D_{t_0}$, we only need to check weak homotopy equivalences for a finite number of s-arcs starting at t_0 .

In Section 2, we introduce the stratification that we use in this paper and recall the definitions of vanishing and emerging cycles in [8]. In Section 3, we study vanishing and emerging cycles of $f : f^{-1}(D_{t_0}) \rightarrow D_{t_0}$ over s-arcs. Section 4 is devoted to the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. In Section 5, we give an example of a fibered map given by polynomials that has an atypical fiber with the same topology as its nearby fiber but has non-trivial vanishing and emerging 1-cycles.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout the paper, for a topological space X , $\text{Int } X$ represents the interior of X and ∂X represents the boundary of X . An i -dimensional sphere S^i is the boundary of an $i + 1$ -dimensional ball. In particular, S^0 consists of two points.

2.1. Stratification of D_{t_0} . The stratification used in this paper is defined as follows. Let Z_0, Z_1, \dots, Z_d be closed semi-algebraic sets in \mathbb{R}^n such that $Z_{i-1} \subset Z_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, d$, $Z_d = \mathbb{R}^n$, and each difference $Z_i \setminus Z_{i-1}$ is a smooth submanifold of \mathbb{R}^n . Let \mathfrak{S} be the set of connected components of $Z_i \setminus Z_{i-1}$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, d$, where $Z_{-1} = \emptyset$. By the construction, \mathbb{R}^n is a disjoint union of smooth submanifolds in \mathfrak{S} . The set \mathfrak{S} is a *stratification* of \mathbb{R}^n .

Let $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a polynomial map from \mathbb{R}^m to \mathbb{R}^n with $m > n > 0$. As mentioned in [2, Theorem 3.4], there exists a stratification \mathfrak{S}_f of \mathbb{R}^n such that, for each $S \in \mathfrak{S}_f$, the map $f : f^{-1}(S) \rightarrow S$ is a locally trivial fibration. Let t_0 be a point in \mathbb{R}^n . We can choose a small open ball D_{t_0} in \mathbb{R}^n centered at t_0 such that $S' \cup \{t_0\}$ is simply-connected for each connected component S' of $S \cap D_{t_0}$ for $S \in \mathfrak{S}_f$. Then, the set $\{S'\}$ constitutes a stratification of D_{t_0} , which we denote by

$\mathfrak{S}_{f,D_{t_0}}$. Each element in $\mathfrak{S}_{f,D_{t_0}}$ is called a *stratum* of $\mathfrak{S}_{f,D_{t_0}}$. Since \mathfrak{S}_f is locally finite, $\mathfrak{S}_{f,D_{t_0}}$ is a finite set.

2.2. Vanishing and emerging cycles. In this subsection, we recall the definitions of vanishing cycles and emerging cycles in [8].

Let E and B be topological spaces. A map $f : E \rightarrow B$ is called a *homotopic submersion* if every map $g : X \rightarrow E$ from a polytope X satisfies that every germ-of-homotopy for $f \circ g$ lifts to a germ-of-homotopy for g . Here a germ-of-homotopy means that two homotopies $H, H' : X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow E$ are the same germ if they coincide in a neighborhood of $X \times \{0\}$. In this paper, $f : E \rightarrow B$ is always a polynomial map and it is a homotopic submersion.

Let S^i denote the i -dimensional sphere, where $i \geq 0$. For a fibred map $g : S^i \times [0, 1] \rightarrow E$, define $g_s : S^i \rightarrow E$ by $g_s(x) = g(x, s)$.

Definition 2.1. A *vanishing i -cycle* is a fibred map $g : S^i \times [0, 1] \rightarrow E$ such that g_s is null-homotopic in $f^{-1}(s)$ for $s > 0$. A vanishing i -cycle is said to be *trivial* if g_0 is also null-homotopic in $f^{-1}(0)$.

Definition 2.2. An *emerging i -cycle* is a fibred map $g : S^i \times (0, 1] \rightarrow E$ such that the image $g_s(x_0)$ of the base point $x_0 \in S^i$ has a limit for $s \rightarrow 0$. An emerging i -cycle is said to be *trivial* if there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and a fibred map $g' : S^i \times [0, \varepsilon] \rightarrow E$ such that, for each $0 < s < \varepsilon$, the base points coincide, that is $g(x_0, s) = g'(x_0, s)$, and g_s and g'_s are homotopic in $f^{-1}(s)$ relative to the base point.

Using these notions, Meigniez proved the following theorem.

Theorem 2.3 (Theorem A in [8]). *A surjective map is a Serre fibration if and only if it is a homotopic submersion and all vanishing and emerging cycles are trivial.*

Remark 2.4. If $f : E \rightarrow B$ is a Serre fibration then it has no vanishing component. Cf. [8, Example 4].

3. FIBRATIONS OVER S-ARCS

Let $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a polynomial map from \mathbb{R}^m to \mathbb{R}^n with $m > n > 0$. For each $S' \in \mathfrak{S}_{f,D_{t_0}}$ except the case $S' = \{t_0\}$, choose an embedding $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow S' \cup \{t_0\}$ of the unit interval $[0, 1]$ such that $\gamma(0) = t_0$ and $\gamma((0, 1]) \subset S'$. The image of γ is a simple arc on $S' \cup \{t_0\}$ and we call it an *s-arc on S' at t_0* . For an s-arc $\delta_{S'}$ on S' at t_0 , we consider the relative homotopy set $\pi_i(f^{-1}(\delta_{S'}), f^{-1}(t_0), x_0)$ for $i \geq 1$, where x_0 is a point in $f^{-1}(t_0)$. For simplicity, we denote it as $\pi_i(f, \delta_{S'}, x_0)$. Note that

$\pi_i(f, \delta_{S'}, x_0)$ is a group if $i \geq 2$. If $f^{-1}(t_0)$ is connected, we denote it as $\pi_i(f, \delta_{S'})$. A trivial element in $\pi_1(f, \delta_{S'}, x_0)$ is the element corresponding to the constant map to x_0 . The set $\pi_1(f, \delta_{S'}, x_0)$ is said to be *trivial* if it consists of only the trivial element. The notation $\pi_1(f, \delta_{S'}, x_0) = 1$ means that $\pi_1(f, \delta_{S'}, x_0)$ is trivial.

The main theorem in this section is the following.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that f has no vanishing component at t_0 . Let $\delta_{S'}$ be an s-arc on $S' \in \mathfrak{S}_{f, D_{t_0}}$ at t_0 . Then, the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $\pi_i(f, \delta_{S'}, x_0) = 1$ for any $i \geq 1$ and $x_0 \in f^{-1}(t_0)$.
- (2) All vanishing and emerging cycles of $f|_{f^{-1}(\delta_{S'})}$ are trivial.
- (3) $f|_{f^{-1}(\delta_{S'})}$ is a Serre fibration.

Throughout this section, we use the notations $I^i = [0, 1]^i$ for $i \geq 1$, $I^0 = \{0\}$, $J_i = \partial I^i \setminus (\text{Int } I^{i-1} \times \{0\})$ for $i \geq 2$, and $J_1 = \{0\}$.

3.1. An interpretation of relative homotopy groups. Let B_r denote the m -dimensional closed ball centered at the origin $0 \in \mathbb{R}^m$ with radius $r > 0$.

Lemma 3.2. *There exists a sufficiently large real number R such that*

- (1) $f^{-1}(t_0)$ is transverse to ∂B_r for all $r \geq R$, and
- (2) $f^{-1}(t_0) \setminus B_r$ is diffeomorphic to $(f^{-1}(t_0) \cap \partial B_r) \times \mathbb{R}$ for all $r \geq R$.

Proof. The assertion (1) is well-known. Let $\psi : f^{-1}(t_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function given by $\psi(x) = \|x\|^2$. By the Curve Selection Lemma [9], it can be proved that ψ has no critical point outside B_R if R is sufficiently large. Then the assertion (2) follows from Ehresmann's Fibration Theorem [3]. \square

We fix R in Lemma 3.2 and assume that an s-arc $\delta_{S'} = \gamma([0, 1])$ is sufficiently short so that $f^{-1}(t)$ is transverse to ∂B_R for any $t \in \gamma([0, 1])$. In particular, the restriction of f to $f^{-1}(\gamma([0, 1])) \cap B_R$ is a locally trivial fibration. Note that the assumption of $\delta_{S'}$ being short is not essential in the argument below since f is a locally trivial fibration over S' . For a subset X of $[0, 1]$, we denote

$$E_X = f^{-1}(\gamma(X)) \quad \text{and} \quad E_X^R = f^{-1}(\gamma(X)) \cap B_R.$$

If X is a point x in $[0, 1]$ then we denote $E_{\{x\}}$ by E_x for simplicity. Set $E_X^- = E_X \setminus (E_0 \setminus B_R)$.

This subsection is devoted to the proof of the next proposition. This proposition is important since it shows that the relative homotopy groups $\pi_i(E_{[0,1]}, E_0, x_0)$ over the interval $[0, 1]$ are determined only by information described on a nearby fiber.

Proposition 3.3. $\pi_i(E_{[0,1]}, E_0, x_0) \cong \pi_i(E_1, E_1^R, x_1^R)$ for $i \geq 1$, where x_0 is a point in E_0 and x_1^R is a point in the intersection of E_1^R and the connected component of $E_{[0,1]}^R$ containing x_0 .

The proposition is proved by combining the following four lemmas.

Lemma 3.4. $\pi_i(E_{[0,1]}, E_0, x_0) \cong \pi_i(E_{[0,1]}^-, E_0^R, x_0^R)$ for all $i \geq 1$, where x_0 is a point in E_0 and x_0^R is a point in the intersection of E_0^R and the connected component of E_0 containing x_0 .

Remark that $x_0^R \in E_0^R$ always exists by the condition (2) in Lemma 3.2.

Proof. It is enough to prove the assertion for $x_0^R = x_0$. We have the following commutative diagram of exact sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \pi_i(E_0^R, x_0^R) & \longrightarrow & \pi_i(E_{[0,1]}^-, x_0^R) & \longrightarrow & \pi_i(E_{[0,1]}^-, E_0^R, x_0^R) & \longrightarrow & \pi_{i-1}(E_0^R, x_0^R) \\ i_*^R \downarrow & & i_* \downarrow & & \downarrow & & i_*^R \downarrow \\ \pi_i(E_0, x_0^R) & \longrightarrow & \pi_i(E_{[0,1]}, x_0^R) & \longrightarrow & \pi_i(E_{[0,1]}, E_0, x_0^R) & \longrightarrow & \pi_{i-1}(E_0, x_0^R), \end{array}$$

where two lines are exact and vertical arrows are induced from the inclusions. The condition (2) in Lemma 3.2 implies that all morphisms i_*^R are isomorphic. In order to prove the assertion, we will prove that i_* are isomorphic. The map $i_* : \pi_0(E_{[0,1]}^-, x_0^R) \rightarrow \pi_0(E_{[0,1]}, x_0^R)$ is a bijection because $\pi_0(E_{[0,1]}^-, x_0^R)$ and $\pi_0(E_{[0,1]}, x_0^R)$ correspond to the sets of connected components of $E_{[0,1]}^-$ and $E_{[0,1]}$, respectively. Hence, it is enough to show the isomorphisms for $i \geq 1$.

We first prove the surjectivity. For $i \geq 1$, let $[h]$ be an element in $\pi_i(E_{[0,1]}, x_0^R)$, where $h : I^i \rightarrow E_{[0,1]}$ is a continuous map such that $h(\partial I^i) = x_0^R$. Choose $a > 0$ such that $h(I^i) \cap E_0 \subset B_{R+a}$, and choose $b > 0$ and $c > 0$ small enough so that E_s is transverse to ∂B_r for all $R \leq r \leq R + a + c$ and all $s \in [0, b]$ and $h(I^i) \cap E_{[0,b]} \subset B_{R+a+c}$. The existence of b satisfying the transversality condition can be proved by the Curve Selection Lemma. If we choose $b > 0$ sufficiently small further then we can find $c > 0$ satisfying the above condition. The restriction of f to $E_{[0,b]} \cap B_{R+a+c}$ is a locally trivial fibration. In particular, $E_{[0,b]} \cap (B_{R+a+c} \setminus \text{Int } B_R)$ is diffeomorphic to $\partial E_0^R \times [R, R + a + c] \times [0, b]$. Let (x', r, s) be the coordinates of $\partial E_0^R \times [R, R + a + c] \times [0, b]$, where $x' \in \partial E_0^R$, $r \in [R, R + a + c]$ and $s \in [0, b]$.

We construct a homotopy $\phi_\tau : (E_{[0,b]} \cap B_{R+a+c}) \cup E_{[b,1]} \rightarrow E_{[0,1]}$ with parameter $\tau \in [0, 1]$ as follows: If $x = (x', r, s) \in E_{[0,b]} \cap (B_{R+a+c} \setminus \text{Int } B_R)$ with $s \leq \frac{r-R}{a+c}b$, then

$$\phi_\tau(x) := \left(x', r, \left(1 - \frac{r-R}{a+c} \tau \right) s + \frac{r-R}{a+c} b \tau \right)$$

and $\phi_\tau(x) = x$ otherwise. See Figure 1. One can check that the map

$$\Phi : ((E_{[0,b]} \cap B_{R+a+c}) \cup E_{[b,1]}) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow E_{[0,1]}, \quad (x, \tau) \mapsto \phi_\tau(x)$$

is continuous, $\Phi(x, 0) = x$, and $\Phi(x, \tau) \in E_{[0,1]}^-$ for all x and all $\tau > 0$. Then $\phi_1 \circ h$ gives an element in $\pi_i(E_{[0,1]}^-, x_0^R)$ which is equal to $[h]$ in $\pi_i(E_{[0,1]}, x_0^R)$. Thus, i_* is a surjection.




FIGURE 1. The homotopy ϕ_τ .

Now we prove the injectivity. Let $[h_1], [h_2]$ be two elements in $\pi_i(E_{[0,1]}^-, x_0^R)$, where $h_1, h_2 : I^i \rightarrow E_{[0,1]}^-$ such that there is a homotopy $H : I^i \times [0, 1] \rightarrow E_{[0,1]}$ with $H(\cdot, 0) = h_1$, $H(\cdot, 1) = h_2$ and $H(\partial I^i \times [0, 1]) = x_0^R$. Choose $a > 0$ such that B_{R+a} contains the image of H . Applying the same argument as above, we can construct a homotopy $\phi_\tau : (E_{[0,b]} \cap B_{R+a+c}) \cup E_{[b,1]} \rightarrow E_{[0,1]}$ such that $\phi_0(x) = x$ for all x , $\phi_1(x) \in E_{[0,1]}^-$ for all x and $\phi_\tau(x) = x$ for all τ and all $x \in B_R$. Thus $\phi_\tau \circ h_k$ gives a homotopy between h_k and $\phi_1(h_k)$ in $E_{[0,1]}^-$ for each $k = 1, 2$. Also, $\phi_1 \circ H(\cdot, \tau)$ gives a homotopy between $\phi_1(h_1)$ and $\phi_1(h_2)$ in $E_{[0,1]}^-$. Hence, $[h_1] = [h_2]$ in $\pi_i(E_{[0,1]}^-, x_0^R)$. Thus, i_* is an injection. \square

Lemma 3.5. $\pi_i(E_{[0,1]}^-, E_0^R, x_0^R) \cong \pi_i(E_{[0,1]}^-, E_{[0,1]}^R, x_*^R)$ for $i \geq 1$, where x_0^R is a point in E_0^R and x_*^R is a point in the connected component of $E_{[0,1]}^R$ containing x_0^R .

Remark that since the restriction of f to $E_{[0,1]}^R$ is a locally trivial fibration, there is one-to-one correspondence between the connected components of E_0^R and those of $E_{[0,1]}^R$.

Proof. Let $[h]$ be an element in $\pi_i(E_{[0,1]}^-, E_0^R, x_0^R)$, where $h : I^i \rightarrow E_{[0,1]}^-$ is a continuous map such that $h(I^{i-1} \times \{0\}) \subset E_0^R$ and $h(J_i) = x_0^R$. Since $h(I^{i-1} \times \{0\}) \subset E_0^R \subset E_{[0,1]}^R$, we may regard $[h]$ as an element in $\pi_i(E_{[0,1]}^-, E_{[0,1]}^R, x_0^R)$ and hence in $\pi_i(E_{[0,1]}^-, E_{[0,1]}^R, x_*^R)$. Moreover, if $[h_1] = [h_2] \in \pi_i(E_{[0,1]}^-, E_0^R, x_0^R)$, then h_1 and h_2 are

homotopic in $(E_{[0,1]}^-, E_{[0,1]}^R, x_*^R)$. Thus we have a map

$$\Phi : \pi_i(E_{[0,1]}^-, E_0^R, x_0^R) \rightarrow \pi_i(E_{[0,1]}^-, E_{[0,1]}^R, x_*^R).$$

We will prove that this map is bijective.

We first prove the surjectivity. Let $[h']$ be an element in $\pi_i(E_{[0,1]}^-, E_{[0,1]}^R, x_*^R)$, where $h' : I^i \rightarrow E_{[0,1]}^-$ is a continuous map such that $h'(I^{i-1} \times \{0\}) \subset E_{[0,1]}^R$ and $h'(J_i) = x_*^R$. We may replace x_*^R by x_0^R since they are in the same connected component of $E_{[0,1]}^R$. First, fix $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small so that $\partial B_{R+\varepsilon}$ is transverse to E_s for any $u \in [0, \varepsilon]$ and $s \in [0, 1]$. Then, $E_{[0,1]} \cap B_{R+\varepsilon}$ is diffeomorphic to $(E_0 \cap B_{R+\varepsilon}) \times [0, 1]$. Let (x, s) be the coordinates of $E_{[0,1]} \cap B_{R+\varepsilon}$, where $x \in E_0 \cap B_{R+\varepsilon}$ and $s \in [0, 1]$. Also, $E_{[0,1]} \cap (B_{R+\varepsilon} \setminus \text{Int } B_R)$ is diffeomorphic to $\partial E_0^R \times [0, \varepsilon] \times [0, 1]$ and let (x', u, s) be the coordinates of $E_{[0,1]} \cap (B_{R+\varepsilon} \setminus \text{Int } B_R)$, where $x' \in \partial E_0^R$, $u \in [0, \varepsilon]$ and $s \in [0, 1]$. Note that $x = (x', u)$ on $E_{[0,1]} \cap (B_{R+\varepsilon} \setminus \text{Int } B_R)$.

Now we define an isotopy φ_τ in $E_{[0,1]}^-$, with parameter $\tau \in [0, 1]$, by

$$\varphi_\tau(x, s) = \begin{cases} (x, (1 - \tau)s) & (x, s) \in E_{[0,1]}^R, \\ (x', u, (1 - \tau + \tau u/\varepsilon)s) & (x, s) \in E_{[0,1]} \cap (B_{R+\varepsilon} \setminus \text{Int } B_R), \\ (x, s) & (x, s) \in E_{[0,1]}^- \setminus B_{R+\varepsilon}. \end{cases}$$

It satisfies that $\varphi_1(h'(I^i)) \subset E_{[0,1]}^-$, $\varphi_1(h'(I^{i-1} \times \{0\})) \subset E_0^R$ and $\varphi_1(h'(J_i)) = x_0^R$, that is, $[\varphi_1 \circ h'] \in \pi_i(E_{[0,1]}^-, E_0^R, x_0^R)$. The isotopy φ_τ shows that $\Phi([\varphi_1 \circ h']) = [h']$. Thus Φ is surjective.

Next we prove the injectivity. Let $[h_1]$ and $[h_2]$ be two elements in $\pi_i(E_{[0,1]}^-, E_0^R, x_0^R)$ such that $\Phi([h_1]) = \Phi([h_2])$ in $\pi_i(E_{[0,1]}^-, E_{[0,1]}^R, x_*^R)$. Hence there exists a homotopy $H : I^i \times [0, 1] \rightarrow E_{[0,1]}^-$ such that $H(\cdot, 0) = h_1$, $H(\cdot, 1) = h_2$, $H(I^{i-1} \times \{0\}, [0, 1]) \subset E_{[0,1]}^R$ and $H(J_i) = x_0^R$. Now we use the isotopy φ_τ . Then $\varphi_1 \circ H$ is a homotopy in $(E_{[0,1]}^-, E_0^R, x_0^R)$ such that $\varphi_1 \circ H(\cdot, 0) = h_1$ and $\varphi_1 \circ H(\cdot, 1) = h_2$. Hence $[h_1] = [h_2]$ in $\pi_i(E_{[0,1]}^-, E_0^R, x_0^R)$. Thus Φ is injective. \square

Lemma 3.6. $\pi_i(E_{[0,1]}^-, E_{[0,1]}^R, x_*^R) \cong \pi_i(E_{(0,1]}, E_{(0,1]}^R, x_*^R)$ for $i \geq 1$ and $x_*^R \in E_{(0,1]}^R$.

Proof. The inclusion $E_{(0,1]} \subset E_{[0,1]}^-$ induces a map Φ from $\pi_i(E_{(0,1]}, E_{(0,1]}^R, x_*^R)$ to $\pi_i(E_{[0,1]}^-, E_{[0,1]}^R, x_*^R)$. Since f is a submersion on D_{t_0} , we can make a vector field that pushes $E_{[0,1]}^-$ into $E_{(0,1]}$. Then, the argument similar to the previous lemma shows that Φ is bijective. \square

Lemma 3.7. $\pi_i(E_{(0,1]}, E_{(0,1]}^R, x_1^R) \cong \pi_i(E_1, E_1^R, x_1^R)$ for $i \geq 1$ and $x_1^R \in E_1^R$.

Proof. Since the restriction of f to $E_{(0,1]}$ is a trivial fibration and $\gamma((0,1])$ is contractible, we have the assertion. \square

Now Proposition 3.3 follows from Lemmas 3.4, 3.5, 3.6 and 3.7.

3.2. Proof of Theorem 3.1. Before proving Theorem 3.1, we show two lemmas.

Lemma 3.8. *Let $\delta_{S'}$ be an s -arc on $S' \in \mathfrak{S}_{f,D_{t_0}}$ at t_0 and $i \geq 0$. If $f|_{f^{-1}(\delta_{S'})}$ has a non-trivial vanishing i -cycle, then $\pi_{i+1}(f, \delta_{S'}, x_0) \neq 1$, where x_0 is a point in the connected component of $f^{-1}(t_0)$ intersecting the image of the vanishing i -cycle.*

Proof. Let $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow D_{t_0}$ be an embedding of $[0, 1]$ such that $\gamma([0, 1]) = \delta_{S'}$ and $\gamma(0) = t_0$. A non-trivial vanishing i -cycle is a continuous map $g : S^i \times [0, 1] \rightarrow f^{-1}(\delta_{S'})$, where S^i is the i -dimensional sphere, such that $f(g(S^i \times \{s\})) = \gamma(s)$ and $g(S^i \times \{s\})$ is null-homotopic in $f^{-1}(\gamma(s))$ for $s \in (0, 1]$ but $g(S^i \times \{0\})$ is not null-homotopic in $f^{-1}(t_0)$. In particular, there exists a continuous map $g' : D^{i+1} \rightarrow f^{-1}(\gamma(1))$, from a closed $i + 1$ -dimensional ball D^{i+1} , such that the restriction of g' to ∂D^{i+1} coincides with the restriction of g to $S^i \times \{1\}$. Then, there exists a continuous map $h : I^{i+1} \rightarrow f^{-1}(\gamma([0, 1]))$ such that $h(I^{i+1}) = g(S^i \times [0, 1]) \cup g'(D^{i+1})$, $h(I^i \times \{0\}) = g(S^i \times \{0\}) \subset f^{-1}(t_0)$ and $h(J_{i+1})$ is a point x_0 on $g(S^i \times \{0\})$, which is an element in $\pi_{i+1}(f, \delta_{S'}, x_0)$.

For contradiction, we assume that $[h]$ is trivial in $\pi_{i+1}(f, \delta_{S'}, x_0)$. Then there exists a continuous map $h' : I^{i+1} \rightarrow f^{-1}(t_0)$ such that $h'(I^i \times \{0\})$ is homotopic to $h(I^i \times \{0\})$ in $f^{-1}(t_0)$ and $h'(J_{i+1}) = x_0$. Therefore, $h(I^i \times \{0\})$, which is $g(S^i \times \{0\})$, is null-homotopic in $f^{-1}(t_0)$, which contradicts the assumption that the vanishing cycle g is non-trivial. Thus $[h]$ is non-trivial in $\pi_{i+1}(f, \delta_{S'}, x_0)$. \square

Lemma 3.9. *Let $\delta_{S'}$ be an s -arc on $S' \in \mathfrak{S}_{f,D_{t_0}}$ at t_0 and $i \geq 1$. If $f|_{f^{-1}(\delta_{S'})}$ has a non-trivial emerging i -cycle, then $\pi_i(f, \delta_{S'}, x_0) \neq 1$ for some $x_0 \in f^{-1}(t_0)$.*

Proof. We prove the contraposition. Assume that $\pi_i(f, \delta_{S'}, x_0) = \pi_i(E_{[0,1]}, E_0, x_0) = 1$. Let $g : S^i \times (0, 1] \rightarrow E_{(0,1]}$ be an emerging i -cycle, where S^i is the i -dimensional sphere. For each $s \in (0, 1]$, let $g_s : S^i \rightarrow E_s$ be the restriction of g to $S^i \times \{s\}$. By Proposition 3.3, we have $\pi_i(E_1, E_1^R, x_1^R) = 1$, where x_1^R is a point in the intersection of E_1^R and the connected component of $E_{[0,1]}^R$ containing x_0 . Let $\phi : E_{(0,1]} \rightarrow E_1$ be the projection induced by the diffeomorphism between $E_{(0,1]}$ and $E_1 \times (0, 1]$. For each $s \in (0, 1]$, we define an isotopy $H_{s,\tau}$ of $\phi(g_s(S^i))$ by $H_{s,\tau} = \phi(g_{(1-\tau)s+\tau}(S^i))$, where $\tau \in [0, 1]$ is the parameter of the isotopy. In particular, $H_{s,1} = g_1(S^i)$ for any $s \in (0, 1]$. Since $\pi_i(E_1, E_1^R, x_1^R) = 1$, we can push $g_1(S^i)$ into E_1^R by a homotopy. We denote the pushed $g_1(S^i)$ as $(S^i)^R$.

Recall that $f : E_{[0,1]}^R \rightarrow \gamma([0, 1])$ is a locally trivial fibration. For the emerging i -cycle g , we set a continuous map $g' : S^i \times [0, \varepsilon] \rightarrow E_{[0,1]}$ such that $f(g'(x, s)) = \gamma(s)$ and $\phi(g'(S^i \times \{s\})) = (S^i)^R$ for $s \in [0, \varepsilon]$. Regarding the homotopy from $g_1(S^i)$ to $(S^i)^R$ on E_1 as a homotopy on E_s via the projection ϕ , we can show that there exists a homotopy from $g_s(S^i)$ to $(S^i)^R \times \{s\}$ on E_s for each $0 < s < \varepsilon$. Thus the emerging cycle g is trivial. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Since f has no vanishing component at t_0 , there is no non-trivial emerging 0-cycle. Then (1) \Rightarrow (2) follows from Lemmas 3.8 and 3.9. The implication (2) \Rightarrow (3) follows from Theorem 2.3. Suppose that (3) holds. By [8, Corollary 14], $f : (f^{-1}(\delta_{S'}), f^{-1}(t_0), x_0) \rightarrow (\delta_{S'}, t_0, t_0)$ is a weak homotopy equivalence. Hence (1) follows. \square

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

Before proving Theorem 1.2, we show two lemmas.

Lemma 4.1. *$f : f^{-1}(D_{t_0}) \rightarrow D_{t_0}$ is a Serre fibration if and only if f has no vanishing component at t_0 and $\pi_i(f, \delta', x') = 1$ for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$, any s -arc δ' at any $t' \in D_{t_0}$ and any $x' \in f^{-1}(t')$.*

Proof. The “only if” part follows from [8, Corollary 14 (3)] and Theorem 3.1. We prove the “if” part. Suppose that $f : f^{-1}(D_{t_0}) \rightarrow D_{t_0}$ is not a Serre fibration. By [8, Corollary 19], there exists a straight line ℓ on D_{t_0} such that $f|_{f^{-1}(\ell)}$ is not a Serre fibration. Since the stratification of the bifurcation set is semi-algebraic [2, Theorem 3.4], there exists an s -arc δ' on ℓ at some point t' in D_{t_0} such that $f|_{f^{-1}(\delta')}$ is not a Serre fibration. Thus, by Remark 2.4 and Theorem 3.1, f has no vanishing component and satisfies $\pi_i(f, \delta', x') \neq 1$ for some $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x' \in f^{-1}(t')$. \square

Lemma 4.2. *Let $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a polynomial map and $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be an embedding of the interval $[0, 1]$ into \mathbb{R}^n . Suppose that $f : E_{(0,1]} \rightarrow (0, 1]$ is a locally trivial fibration. For $i \geq 0$, let $g : S^i \times (0, 1] \rightarrow E_{(0,1]}$ be a trivial emerging i -cycle, that is, there exists a fibered map $\bar{g} : S^i \times [0, \varepsilon] \rightarrow E_{[0,\varepsilon]}$ such that $g_s := g(\cdot, s) : S^i \rightarrow E_s$ and $\bar{g}_s := \bar{g}(\cdot, s) : S^i \rightarrow E_s$ are homotopic in E_s for each $s \in (0, \varepsilon]$, where S^i is the i -dimensional sphere. Then there exists a real number ε' with $0 < \varepsilon' < \varepsilon$ and a fibered map $\tilde{g} : S^i \times [0, 1] \rightarrow E_{[0,1]}$ such that $\tilde{g}_s = \bar{g}_s$ for $s \in [0, \varepsilon']$ and $\tilde{g}_s = g_s$ for $s \in [\varepsilon, 1]$, where $\tilde{g}_s(x) = \tilde{g}(x, s)$.*

Proof. Let $\varphi_\tau : S^i \rightarrow E_\varepsilon$ be the homotopy in E_ε in the assertion with parameter $\tau \in [0, 1]$ such that $\varphi_0 = g_\varepsilon$ and $\varphi_1 = \bar{g}_\varepsilon$. Since $f : E_{(0,1]} \rightarrow (0, 1]$ is a locally trivial

fibration, $E_{(0,1]}$ is diffeomorphic to $E_1 \times (0, 1]$. Let $\phi : E_{(0,1]} \rightarrow E_1$ be the projection to the first factor of $E_1 \times (0, 1]$. Then we define $\tilde{g} : S^i \times (0, 1] \rightarrow E_1 \times (0, 1]$ by

$$\tilde{g}(x, s) = \begin{cases} (\phi(\bar{g}_s(x)), s) & s \in (0, \varepsilon'] \\ (\phi(\bar{g}_{2s-\varepsilon'}(x)), s) & s \in [\varepsilon', \frac{\varepsilon'+\varepsilon}{2}] \\ (\phi(\varphi_{\frac{2s-\varepsilon'-\varepsilon}{\varepsilon-\varepsilon'}}(x)), s) & s \in [\frac{\varepsilon'+\varepsilon}{2}, \varepsilon] \\ (\phi(g_s(x)), s) & s \in [\varepsilon, 1], \end{cases}$$

where we identified $E_{(0,1]}$ with $E_1 \times (0, 1]$ by the diffeomorphism. The map \tilde{g} is a fibered map over $(0, 1]$. Since \tilde{g} coincides with \bar{g} on $S^i \times (0, \varepsilon']$, it extends to a fibered map over $[0, 1]$. This map satisfies the conditions in the assertion. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. The ‘‘only if’’ part follows from [8, Corollary 14 (3)] and Theorem 3.1. We prove the ‘‘if’’ part. Suppose that $f : f^{-1}(D_{t_0}) \rightarrow D_{t_0}$ is not a Serre fibration and has no vanishing component. If there exists an s-arc $\delta_{S'}$ with $\pi_i(f, \delta_{S'}, x_0) \neq 1$ for some $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_0 \in f^{-1}(t_0)$, then the assertion follows. We assume that there is no such an s-arc for a contradiction. By Lemma 4.1, there exists an s-arc δ' at some point t' in $D_{t_0} \setminus \{t_0\}$ such that $\pi_i(f, \delta', x') \neq 1$ for some $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x' \in f^{-1}(t')$. Let δ'' be an s-arc connecting t_0 and t' and lying on the closure of the stratum containing t' .




FIGURE 2. A triangle Δ and s-arcs δ , δ' and δ'' .

Let g' be a vanishing $(i - 1)$ -cycle of $f : f^{-1}(\delta') \rightarrow \delta'$, that is, it is a fibered map $g' : S^{i-1} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow f^{-1}(\gamma'([0, 1]))$ such that $g'(S^{i-1} \times \{s\})$ is null-homotopic in $f^{-1}(\gamma'(s))$ for $s \in (0, 1]$, where $\gamma' : [0, 1] \rightarrow \delta'$ is a parametrization of δ' such that $\gamma'(0) = t'$. Set $t_1 = \gamma'(1)$. We choose a triangle Δ embedded in the closure of the stratum containing t_1 such that δ' and δ'' are two of the three edges of Δ , and let δ denote the third edge of Δ , see Figure 2. Suppose that δ'' is parametrized as $\gamma''([0, 1]) = \delta''$ such that $\gamma''(0) = t_0$ and $\gamma''(1) = t'$. Then, since f is a locally trivial fibration over $\delta'' \setminus \{t_0\}$ and it has no vanishing component at t_0 , there exists

a fibered map $g'' : S^{i-1} \times (0, 1] \rightarrow f^{-1}(\gamma''((0, 1]))$ such that $g''(x, 1) = g'(x, 0)$ and the limit of $g''(x_0, \varepsilon)$ for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ exists, where x_0 is the base point of S^{i-1} . The second condition is necessary to regard g'' as an emerging cycle, and is satisfied since f has no vanishing component at t_0 .

Since δ'' is an s-arc at t_0 , we have $\pi_i(f, \delta'', x_0) = 1$ for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_0 \in f^{-1}(t_0)$ by the assumption in the first paragraph of this proof. By Theorem 3.1 the emerging cycle g'' is trivial, and by Lemma 4.2 there exists a fibered map $\tilde{g}'' : S^{i-1} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow f^{-1}(\gamma''([0, 1]))$ such that $\tilde{g}''(x, s) = g''(x, s)$ for $x \in S^{i-1}$ and $s \in (\varepsilon, 1]$, where $\varepsilon > 0$ is a sufficiently small real number.


Suppose that $\tilde{g}''(S^{i-1}, 0)$ is homotopic to a point \bar{x} in $f^{-1}(t_0)$. Concatenating \tilde{g}'' and this homotopy, we obtain a homotopy in $f^{-1}(\delta'')$ from $g''(S^{i-1}, 1)$ to \bar{x} . Since f over $\delta'' = \gamma''([0, 1])$ is a submersion we can push this homotopy into $f^{-1}(\gamma''([\varepsilon_0, 1]))$ for a sufficiently small real number $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, and further, since f is a locally trivial fibration over $\gamma''([\varepsilon_0, 1])$, we can push it into $f^{-1}(t')$. Hence $g''(S^{i-1}, 1) = g'(S^{i-1}, 0)$ is null-homotopic in $f^{-1}(t')$, which means that the vanishing $(i-1)$ -cycle g' is trivial.

Suppose that $\tilde{g}''(S^{i-1}, 0)$ is not null-homotopic in $f^{-1}(t_0)$. Let \hat{g} be the concatenation of g' and \tilde{g}'' . We push $\hat{g}(S^{i-1} \times [0, 1])$ into $f^{-1}((\Delta \setminus \partial\Delta) \cup \{t_0\})$, fiberwisely, with fixing the points in $f^{-1}(t_0)$ and $f^{-1}(t_1)$. This is done by considering a lift of the vector field on Δ shown in Figure 3 that brings $\delta' \cup \delta''$ to an s-arc δ_1 at t_0 connecting t_0 and t_1 and lying on $(\Delta \setminus \partial\Delta) \cup \{t_0\}$. Then the lifted vector field brings \hat{g} to a fibered map \hat{g}_1 over δ_1 , fiberwisely. Let $\gamma_1 : [0, 1] \rightarrow \delta_1$ be a parametrization of δ_1 such that $\gamma_1(0) = t_0$ and $\gamma_1(1) = t_1$. Since $\hat{g}_1(S^{i-1}, 1)$ is null-homotopic in $f^{-1}(t_1)$ and f is a locally trivial fibration over $\Delta \setminus \partial\Delta$, $\hat{g}_1(S^{i-1}, u)$ is null-homotopic in $f^{-1}(\gamma_1(u))$ for any $u \in (0, 1]$. Therefore, \hat{g}_1 is a vanishing $(i-1)$ -cycle. Since δ_1 is an s-arc at t_0 , we have $\pi_i(f, \delta_1, x_0) = 1$ for any $i \geq 0$ and $x_0 \in f^{-1}(t_0)$ by the assumption in the first paragraph of this proof. Hence, by Theorem 3.1, the vanishing cycle \hat{g}_1 is trivial. However, this contradicts the assumption that $\tilde{g}''(S^{i-1}, 0)$ is not null-homotopic in $f^{-1}(t_0)$.

Summarizing the arguments in the above two paragraphs, we conclude that the vanishing $(i-1)$ -cycle g' is trivial.

Next, let h' be an emerging i -cycle of $f : f^{-1}(\delta') \rightarrow \delta'$. Since f is a trivial fibration over $\Delta \setminus \partial\Delta$ and f has no vanishing component at t_0 , we can obtain a fibered map $h : S^i \times (0, 1] \rightarrow f^{-1}(\gamma([0, 1]))$, where $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \delta$ is a parametrization of δ with $\gamma(0) = t_0$ and $\gamma(1) = t_1$, such that

(i') h is an emerging cycle of $f : f^{-1}(\delta) \rightarrow \delta$ and


 FIGURE 3. A vector field on Δ whose lift brings \hat{g} to \hat{g}_1 .

- (ii') there exists an isotopy from $h(S^i \times (0, 1])$ to $h'(S^i \times (0, 1])$ associated with an isotopy of δ to δ' on Δ with keeping the endpoints on t_1 and δ'' .

Since δ is an s-arc of f at t_0 , it is assumed that $\pi_i(f, \delta, x_0) = 1$. Hence h is a trivial emerging cycle by Theorem 3.1, that is, there exists a fibered map $\bar{h} : S^i \times [0, \varepsilon] \rightarrow f^{-1}(\gamma([0, \varepsilon]))$ such that $h_s := h(\cdot, s) : S^i \rightarrow f^{-1}(\gamma(s))$ and $\bar{h}_s := \bar{h}(\cdot, s) : S^i \rightarrow f^{-1}(\gamma(s))$ are homotopic in $f^{-1}(\gamma(s))$ for each $s \in (0, \varepsilon]$. Consider a smooth vector field on Δ that induces the isotopy of δ to δ' in (ii') and make a non-zero smooth vector field in $f^{-1}(U_{\delta''})$ as a lift of this vector field, where $U_{\delta''}$ is a small neighborhood of δ'' in Δ . Pushing \bar{h} by using this vector field, we obtain a fibered map $\bar{h}' : S^i \times [0, \varepsilon] \rightarrow f^{-1}(\gamma'([0, \varepsilon]))$. For each $s \in (0, \varepsilon]$, $h'_s := h'(\cdot, s)$ and $\bar{h}'_s := \bar{h}'(\cdot, s)$ are homotopic in $f^{-1}(\gamma'(s))$, which can be proved by pushing into $f^{-1}(\gamma'(s))$ the concatenation of the inverse of the isotopy in (ii'), the homotopy in $f^{-1}(\gamma(s))$ and the isotopy induced by the above vector field. Thus the emerging cycle h' is trivial.

Now it has been proved that any vanishing $(i - 1)$ -cycles and emerging i -cycles of $f : f^{-1}(\delta') \rightarrow \delta'$ are trivial. Hence $\pi_i(f, \delta', x') = 1$ by Theorem 3.1, which is a contradiction. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. If there is no vanishing component at t_0 , then the assertion follows from Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 3.1. If there exists a vanishing component at t_0 , then there exists an s-arc over which f is not a Serre fibration. Thus the assertion follows. \square

5. EXAMPLES

Example 5.1 (Joița and Tibăr [6]). Let $\mathbb{C}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ be a polynomial map defined by

$$f(x, y, z) = (x, ((x - 1)(xz + y^2) + 1)(x(xz + y^2) - 1)).$$

Over a small neighborhood of $(0, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^2$, all fibers are diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{C} \sqcup \mathbb{C}$ but $f^{-1}(a, b)$ for $a \neq 0$ has a component that vanishes at infinity when a goes to 0. Hence, there exists an s-arc δ starting at $\mathbf{0}$ on which the fibered map has a non-trivial vanishing 0-cycle and a non-trivial emerging 0-cycle. In particular, $\pi_1(f, \delta, x_0) \neq 1$ for $x_0 \in f^{-1}(\mathbf{0})$ by Lemma 3.8.

Example 5.2. We give an example of a fibered map, given as a restriction of a polynomial map $f : \mathbb{R}^5 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$, whose fibers are connected and have the same topology but that has a non-trivial vanishing 1-cycle and a non-trivial emerging 1-cycle. Let $f = (f_1, f_2, f_3)$ be a polynomial map from \mathbb{R}^5 to \mathbb{R}^3 given as

$$\begin{cases} f_1(x, y, z, u, v) = y^2 + (u^2x + 1)(vx - 1)(x^2 + (v - u^2)x + 1) \\ f_2(x, y, z, u, v) = (z^2 + u^2) - v(u^2 + 1)(z^2 + 1) \\ f_3(x, y, z, u, v) = u \end{cases}$$

and set $\delta = \{(0, 0, u) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid u \in [0, 1]\}$. In this section we will show that $f|_{f^{-1}(\delta)} : f^{-1}(\delta) \rightarrow \delta$ has no singularity, each fiber is diffeomorphic to $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$, but $f^{-1}(0, 0, 0)$ is an atypical fiber.

Set $g(x, u, v) = (u^2x + 1)(vx - 1)(x^2 + (v - u^2)x + 1)$. Regard g as a polynomial of one variable x and denote it as $g_{u,v}(x)$. The condition $f_2 = 0$ implies $0 \leq v < 1$. Using this and $u \in [0, 1]$, we can verify that the real roots of $g_{u,v}(x) = 0$ are only $-\frac{1}{u^2}$ and $\frac{1}{v}$. In particular, $g_{u,v}(x) = 0$ has no multiple root. This property will be used in the proofs below.

Claim 1. $f|_{f^{-1}(\delta)}$ has no singularity.

Proof. The Jacobian matrix J_f of f is

$$J_f = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x} & 2y & 0 & \frac{\partial g}{\partial u} & \frac{\partial g}{\partial v} \\ 0 & 0 & 2z - 2v(u^2 + 1)z & 2u - 2uv(z^2 + 1) & -(u^2 + 1)(z^2 + 1) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence a singular point of $f|_{f^{-1}(\delta)}$ satisfies $\frac{\partial g}{\partial x} = y = 0$. Then, $f_1 = 0$ implies $g = 0$, but $g_{u,v}(x) = 0$ cannot have a multiple root. Hence there is no singular point. \square

Claim 2. $f^{-1}(0, 0, 0)$ is diffeomorphic to $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Set $g_0(x, z) = g(x, 0, \frac{z^2}{z^2+1})$. Then $f^{-1}(0, 0, 0)$ is identified with $X_0 = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid y^2 + g_0(x, z) = 0\}$. Let $p : X_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth map defined by $p(x, y, z) = x - z^2$. Suppose that $p(x, y, z)$ is bounded. Then x is bounded below. Assume that x is not bounded above. If $vx - 1 > 0$ then $g_0(x, z) = 0$ has no solution. If $vx - 1 \leq 0$ and x is sufficiently large then $v = \frac{z^2}{z^2+1} \geq 0$ is close

to 0. Hence z is also close to 0. This contradicts the assumption that $p(x, y, z)$ is bounded. Thus p is proper.

A singular point of p satisfies $g_0(x, z) = y = 2z \frac{\partial g_0}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial g_0}{\partial z} = 0$. Since $g_0(x, z) = 0$ and $y = 0$, we have $x = \frac{1}{v}$. However

$$2z \frac{\partial g_0}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial g_0}{\partial z} = \frac{2z(1+x^2)(x^2+2)}{x(z^2+1)^2} \neq 0.$$

Thus p is a submersion.

The map p is a surjection since $p(t, \sqrt{t^2+1}, 0) = t$. Hence it is a locally trivial fibration. Since $x = z^2 + 1$ implies $vx = z^2$, $p^{-1}(1)$ is a circle given by $y^2 + (z^2 - 1)(z^2 + 1)(z^2 + 2) = 0$. Hence X_0 , which is $f^{-1}(0, 0, 0)$, is diffeomorphic to $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$. \square

Claim 3. $f^{-1}(0, 0, u)$ is diffeomorphic to $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$ for $0 < u \leq 1$.

Proof. Set $g_u(x, z) = g(x, u, \frac{z^2+u^2}{(u^2+1)(z^2+1)})$. Then $f^{-1}(0, 0, u)$ is identified with $X_u = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid y^2 + g_u(x, z) = 0\}$. Let $q_u : X_u \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth map defined by $q_u(x, y, z) = z$. Since $u \neq 0$, we have $0 < v < 1$. Suppose that z is bounded. Then v cannot be close to 0 and 1, and hence $g_u(x, z)$ is bounded below. Then, $y^2 + g_u(x, z) = 0$ implies that x and y are bounded. Thus q_u is proper.

A singular point of q_u satisfies $g_u(x, z) = y = \frac{\partial g_u}{\partial x} = 0$. However, $g_{u,v}(x) = 0$ has no multiple root. Hence q_u is a submersion.

For each z , v is determined by $v = \frac{z^2+u^2}{(u^2+1)(z^2+1)}$. Since $0 < u \leq 1$, we have $v > 0$. The point $(x, y, z) = (\frac{1}{v}, 0, z)$ is in $q_u^{-1}(z)$, which means that q_u is a surjection, that is, it is a locally trivial fibration. We can easily verify that $q_u^{-1}(0) = \{(x, y, 0) \mid y^2 + g_u(x, 0) = 0\}$ is a circle. Thus X_u , which is $f^{-1}(0, 0, u)$, is diffeomorphic to $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$. \square

Claim 4. *The fibered map f over δ has a non-trivial vanishing 1-cycle and a non-trivial emerging 1-cycle.*

Proof. Let $r : f^{-1}(\delta) \cap \{x - z^2 = 0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a map defined by $r(x, y, z, u, v) = u$. Assume that there is a sequence on $f^{-1}(\delta) \cap \{x - z^2 = 0\}$ with $|z| \rightarrow \infty$. Since $x \rightarrow \infty$ and $vx = \frac{(z^2+u^2)z^2}{(u^2+1)(z^2+1)} \rightarrow \infty$, we see that $f_1 = 0$ has no solution. This means that there is no such a sequence. If the z -coordinate of a sequence on $f^{-1}(\delta) \cap \{x - z^2 = 0\}$ is bounded, then $f_1 = 0$ implies that the y -coordinate is also bounded. Hence the map r is proper.

A singular point of r satisfies $g(x, u, v) = y = 0$, $f_2 = 0$ and $x - z^2 = 0$ and further satisfies either

- (i) $z = 0$ or
(ii) $z \neq 0$ and $(u^2 + 1)(z^2 + 1)\frac{\partial g}{\partial x} + (1 - v(u^2 + 1))\frac{\partial g}{\partial v} = 0$.

The real roots of $g_{u,v}(x) = 0$ are only $x = -\frac{1}{u^2}$ and $x = \frac{1}{v}$. Thus, case (i) cannot happen. In case (ii), since $x = -\frac{1}{u^2}$ contradicts $x - z^2 = 0$, we can assume that $x = \frac{1}{v}$. However $(u^2 + 1)(z^2 + 1)\frac{\partial g}{\partial x} + (1 - v(u^2 + 1))\frac{\partial g}{\partial v} = 0$ implies $x^2 + u^2 + 1 = 0$ and this has no solution. Hence r is a submersion. It has been proved in Claim 2 that $r^{-1}(0)$ is a circle. Hence the fiber of the proper submersion r is a circle.

Next we show that the map $r_u : f^{-1}(0, 0, u) \cap \{x - z^2 \geq 0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for $0 < u \leq 1$ defined by $r_u(x, y, z) = x - z^2$ has only one singular point of Morse index 2. For each $u \in (0, 1]$, the set of singular points of r_u is determined by $g(x, u, v) = y = 0$, $f_2 = 0$ and either (i) or (ii). Since $x - z^2 \geq 0$, the possible real root of $g_{u,v}(x) = 0$ is $x = \frac{1}{v}$. By $f_2 = 0$, we have

$$(u^2 + 1)(z^2 + 1) = x(z^2 + u^2). \quad (5.1)$$

In case (i), $(x, y, z, u, v) = (\frac{u^2+1}{u^2}, 0, 0, u, \frac{u^2}{u^2+1})$ is a singular point of r_u . In case (ii), we have $(1-x+z^2)(u^2+1)+x^2 = 0$, and we can confirm that this and equation (5.1) have no common solution.

At the singular point $(\frac{u^2+1}{u^2}, 0, 0, u, \frac{u^2}{u^2+1})$, the Hessian of r_u is given as

$$\begin{pmatrix} -2/\frac{\partial g}{\partial x} & 0 \\ 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\frac{\partial g}{\partial x} > 0$. Hence it is a Morse singularity of index 2. Then, we can conclude that, for each $0 < u \leq 1$, the circle $r_u^{-1}(0)$ bounds a disk on $f^{-1}(0, 0, u)$. On the other hand, the circle $r_0^{-1}(0)$ coincides with $p^{-1}(0)$, where $p : X_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the map in the proof of Claim 2, and this circle is non-trivial in $\pi_1(X_0) = \pi_1(S^1 \times \mathbb{R})$ as seen in the proof. Hence the family of these circles is a non-trivial vanishing 1-cycle over δ . If this fibered map does not have a non-trivial emerging 1-cycle, then $f^{-1}(0, 0, 0)$ cannot be diffeomorphic to $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$. Hence it has a non-trivial emerging 1-cycle. \square

In particular, $\pi_2(f, \delta, x_0)$ and $\pi_1(f, \delta, x_0)$, $x_0 \in f^{-1}(0, 0, 0)$, are non-trivial by Lemmas 3.8 and 3.9.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Coste, M.J. de la Puente, *Atypical values at infinity of a polynomial function on the real plane: an erratum, and an algorithmic criterion*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **162** (2001), no. 1, 23–35.

- [2] M. Demdah Kartoue, *h-cobordism and s-cobordism theorems: transfer over semialgebraic and Nash categories, uniform bound and effectiveness*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **61** (2011), no. 4, 1573–1597.
- [3] C. Ehresmann, *Sur les espaces fibrés différentiables*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **224** (1947), 1611–1612.
- [4] H.V. Hà and D.T. Lê, *Sur la topologie des polynômes complexes*, (French) [The topology of complex polynomials] Acta Math. Vietnam. **9** (1984), no. 1, 21–32.
- [5] M. Ishikawa, T.T. Nguyen and T.S. Pham, *Bifurcation sets of real polynomial functions of two variables and Newton polygons*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **71** (2019), no. 4, 1201–1222.
- [6] C. Joița and M. Tibăr, *Bifurcation set of multi-parameter families of complex curves*, J. Topol. **11** (2018), 739–751.
- [7] C. Joița and M. Tibăr, *Bifurcation values of families of real curves*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **147** (2017), no. 6, 1233–1242.
- [8] G. Meigniez, *Submersions, fibrations and bundles*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **354** (2002), 3771–3787.
- [9] J. Milnor, *Singular points of complex hypersurfaces*, Ann. Math. Studies, 61, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J.; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo 1968.
- [10] T.T. Nguyen, *Bifurcation set, M-tameness, asymptotic critical values and Newton polyhedrons*, Kodai Math. J. **36** (2013), no. 1, 77–90.
- [11] R. Thom, *Ensembles et morphismes stratifiés*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **75** (1969), 240–284.
- [12] M. Tibăr, A. Zaharia, *Asymptotic behaviour of families of real curves*, Manuscripta Math. **99** (1999), 383–393.
- [13] J.-L. Verdier, *Stratifications de Whitney et théorème de Bertini-Sard*, Invent. Math. **36** (1976), 295–312.

FACULTY OF ECONOMICS, KEIO UNIVERSITY, 4-1-1, HIYOSHI, KOUHOKU, YOKOHAMA, KANAGAWA 223-8521, JAPAN

Email address: ishikawa@keio.jp

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, VIETNAM ACADEMY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, 18 HOANG QUOC VIET ROAD, CAU GIAY DISTRICT, HANOI, VIETNAM

Email address: ntthang@math.ac.vn